1	SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP David W. Carpenter*		
2	Bradford A. Berenson* David L. Lawson*		
	Edward R. McNicholas*		
3	Eric A. Shumsky #206164		
4	1501 K Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20005		
_	Tel: (202) 736-8000		
5	Fax: (202) 736-8711 bberenson@sidley.com		
6	•		
7	PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP		
,	Bruce A. Ericson #76342		
8	Jacob R. Sorensen #209134 Marc H. Axelbaum #209855		
9	50 Fremont Street		
	Post Office Box 7880 San Francisco, CA 94120		
10	Tel.: (415) 983-1000		
11	Fax: (415) 983-1200		
12	bruce.ericson@pillsburylaw.com		
12	Attorneys for AT&T Defendants * a	admitted pro hac vice	
13			
14			
	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
15	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
16	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
17			
1.0	In re:	MDL Dkt. No. 06-1791-VRW	
18	mile.	[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING MO-	
19	NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY TELE-	TION OF DEFENDANTS AT&T COM-	
20	COMMUNICATIONS RECORDS LITIGA- TION	MUNICATIONS OF SOUTHWEST, INC ET AL. TO DISMISS PLAINTIFF'S AP-	
20		PLICATION TO COMPEL	
21	-	Courtroom: 6, 17th Floor	
22	This Document Relates To:	Judge: Hon. Vaughn R. Walker	
23	Clayton, et al. v. AT&T Communications of the		
24	Southwest, Inc., No. 07-1187		
24			
25			
26			
27			
28	TD.	DODOCEDI ODDED CDANTING AT&T'S MOT TO DISMISS	

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING AT&T`S MOT. TO DISMISS CLAYTON v. AT&T, No. 07-1187 MDL No. 06-1791-VRW

1	On April 2, 2009, defendants AT&T Communications of the Southwest, Inc., SBC	
2	Long Distance, L.L.C., SBC Advanced Solutions, Inc., TCG St. Louis Holdings, Inc. dba	
3	TCG St. Louis and Southwestern Bell Telephone L.P., and TCG Kansas City, Inc. moved	
4	pursuant to Rule 12(b)(6) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure to dismiss Plaintiff's First	
5	Amended Application to Compel Production of Documents and to Compel Witnesses to	
6	Appear and Answer Questions Upon Oral Examination (Dkt. 1-6, "Application") for failure	
7	to state a claim upon which relief can be granted ("Motion").	
8	On May 7, 2009, Defendants' Motion came on for hearing before the Court. Hav-	
9	ing considered Defendants' Motion, Plaintiff's opposition thereto, Defendants' reply and	
10	the oral arguments by counsel for the parties, and good cause appearing,	
11	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:	
12	1. Defendants' Motion is hereby GRANTED on the ground that Plaintiff has	
13	failed to state a claim upon which relief can be granted;	
14	2. Plaintiff's Application is hereby DISMISSED with prejudice and without	
15	leave to amend.	
16		
17	Dated: Hon. Vaughn R. Walker	
18	United States District Chief Judge	
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		